

Minutes of the public meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Hewlett Neck held on Tuesday, November 29, 2022 at 6:00 PM. At Village Hall, 30 Piermont Avenue, Hewlett NY 11557

1. Calling the meeting to order:

Mayor Epstein called the meeting to order at 6:04 p.m.

2. Roll call:

Mayor	Ross Epstein
Deputy Mayor	Aron Schnell
Trustee	Kenneth Frenkel
Trustee	Moshe Blinder
Trustee	Michael Levine- Arrived 6:08
Village Clerk/Treasurer	Michelle Blandino
Village Attorney	Brian Stolar, Esq. – Via Video conference

3. Notice of Meeting–Nassau Herald:

Clerk Blandino reported that notice of this evening’s public meeting was sent to the Nassau Herald, posted on website, posted on the bulletin board outside Village Hall.

4. Minutes –Minutes for October 24 , 2022

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Deputy Mayor Schnell and unanimously approved, the Board dispensed with the reading of the minutes of October 24, 2022 as the Clerk had previously mailed such minutes and they are hereby approved.

5. Woodbine Ditch Project Final Payment

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Frenkel and unanimously approved the Board directed the Treasurer to pay A.I.I. Allen Industries Inc. the final payment in the amount of \$21,279.33 for Woodbine Ditch Drainage Improvements Program.

6. Hewlett Neck Lease Agreement with Hewlett Bay Park

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Blinder and unanimously carried, the Board approved the lease as tenants to Hewlett Bay Park with a rental of \$875 per month to commence on January 1 2023 until December 31, 2027 which includes the storage of a truck and snow plow during the winter months and a shed to be placed at the rear of Village Hall.

7. MCS Security Consultants Inc Increase Proposal

On motion by Mayor Epstein, seconded by Trustee Blinder, and unanimously carried, the Board agreed to an increase in payments of 8% for MCS Security Consultants Inc. to commence on June 1, 2023.

8. Proposed Law HN-2204- A local law to amend Chapter 50 of the Code of the Village of Hewlett Neck, in relation to permit fees for completion of construction work without first obtaining a permit

The Mayor opened the public hearing. The Village Attorney explained the proposed local law. After confirming that the hearing notice was published in the Nassau Herald and posted on the bulletin board outside of Village Hall and in the lobby of Village Hall and Village Website. Affidavits of posting and publication of the hearing notices are on file at the Village Clerk's office, and hearing no comments from the public, on motion duly made by the Mayor, seconded by Trustee Levine, and adopted unanimously, the Board closed the public hearing and adopted the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that

- (a) Proposed Law HN-2204 is an Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations;
- (b) the Board is the lead agency with respect to environmental review of this proposed action;
- (c) the Board has considered the following factors in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:
 - (i) whether the proposed action would result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - (ii) whether the proposed action would result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - (iii) whether the proposed action would impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - (iv) whether the proposed action would conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - (v) whether the proposed action would impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - (vi) whether the proposed action would result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - (vii) whether the proposed action would create a hazard to human health;
 - (viii) whether the proposed action would create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;

- (ix) whether the proposed action would encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - (x) whether the proposed action would create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
 - (xi) whether the proposed action would create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
 - (xii) whether the proposed action would result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;
- (d) the proposed action, would not have a significant adverse environmental impact, as that impact is considered under SEQRA; and
- (e) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action

RESOLVED, that the Board adopts Proposed Bill HN-2204 as Local Law HN-6-2022, and directs the Village Clerk to file Local Law HN-6-2022 with the New York Secretary of State and take all actions required by law.

9. Proposed Law HN-2205- A local law to amend the Code of the Village of Hewlett Neck, to authorize the use of videoconferencing for public bodies

The Mayor opened the public hearing. The Village Attorney explained the proposed local law. After confirming that the hearing notice was published in the Nassau Herald and posted on the bulletin board outside of Village Hall and in the lobby of Village Hall and Village Website. Affidavits of posting and publication of the hearing notices are on file at the Village Clerk's office, and hearing no comments from the public, on motion duly made by the Mayor, seconded by Deputy Mayor Schnell, and adopted unanimously, the Board closed the public hearing and adopted the following resolution:

- RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that
- (a) Proposed Law HN-2205 is an Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations;
 - (b) the Board is the lead agency with respect to environmental review of this proposed action;
 - (c) the Board has considered the following factors in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:
 - (i) whether the proposed action would result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - (ii) whether the proposed action would result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area,

substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
(iii) whether the proposed action would impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
(iv) whether the proposed action would conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
(v) whether the proposed action would impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
(vi) whether the proposed action would result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;

(vii) whether the proposed action would create a hazard to human health;
(viii) whether the proposed action would create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
(ix) whether the proposed action would encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
(x) whether the proposed action would create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
(xi) whether the proposed action would create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;
(xii) whether the proposed action would result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;

(d) the proposed action, would not have a significant adverse environmental impact, as that impact is considered under SEQRA; and

(e) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action

RESOLVED, that the Board adopts Proposed Bill HN-2205 as Local Law HN-7-2022, and directs the Village Clerk to file Local Law HN-7-2022 with the New York Secretary of State and take all actions required by law.

10. Proposed Law HN-2206- A local law to amend Chapter 97 of the Code of the Village of Hewlett Neck, in relation to maintenance of drainage facilities on private property

The Mayor opened the public hearing. The Village Attorney explained the proposed local law. After confirming that the hearing notice was published in the Nassau Herald and posted on the bulletin board outside of Village Hall and in the lobby of Village Hall and Village Website. Affidavits of posting and publication of the hearing notices are on file at the Village Clerk's office, and hearing no comments from the public, on motion duly made by the Mayor, seconded by Trustee Blinder, and adopted unanimously, the Board closed the public hearing and adopted the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that

(a) Proposed Law HN-2206 is an Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations;

(b) the Board is the lead agency with respect to environmental review of this proposed action;

(c) the Board has considered the following factors in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:

(i) whether the proposed action would result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;

(ii) whether the proposed action would result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;

(iii) whether the proposed action would impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;

(iv) whether the proposed action would conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;

(v) whether the proposed action would impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;

(vi) whether the proposed action would result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;

(vii) whether the proposed action would create a hazard to human health;

(viii) whether the proposed action would create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;

(ix) whether the proposed action would encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;

(x) whether the proposed action would create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;

(xi) whether the proposed action would create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;

(xii) whether the proposed action would result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;

(d) the proposed action, would not have a significant adverse environmental impact, as that impact is considered under SEQRA; and

(e) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action

RESOLVED, that the Board adopts Proposed Bill HN-2206 as Local Law HN-8-2022, and directs the Village Clerk to file Local Law HN-8-2022 with the New York Secretary of State and take all actions required by law.

11. Proposed Law HN-2207- A local law to amend Chapter 115 of the Code of the Village of Hewlett Neck, in relation to barrier curb construction on private property

The Mayor opened the public hearing. The Village Attorney explained the proposed local law. After confirming that the hearing notice was published in the Nassau Herald and posted on the bulletin board outside of Village Hall and in the lobby of Village Hall and Village Website. Affidavits of posting and publication of the hearing notices are on file at the Village Clerk's office, and hearing no comments from the public, on motion duly made by the Mayor, seconded by Trustee Blinder, and adopted unanimously, the Board closed the public hearing and adopted the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Board hereby finds and concludes that

- (a) Proposed Law HN-2207 is an Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its regulations;
- (b) the Board is the lead agency with respect to environmental review of this proposed action;
- (c) the Board has considered the following factors in respect to its review of the environmental impacts of the proposed action:
 - (i) whether the proposed action would result in any substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels, nor any substantial increase in solid waste production, nor create a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
 - (ii) whether the proposed action would result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna, substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, impacts on a significant habitat area, substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species, or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
 - (iii) whether the proposed action would impair the environmental characteristics of any Critical Environmental Area;
 - (iv) whether the proposed action would conflict with the community's current plans or goals as official approved or adopted;
 - (v) whether the proposed action would impair the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
 - (vi) whether the proposed action would result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
 - (vii) whether the proposed action would create a hazard to human health;
 - (viii) whether the proposed action would create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land, including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
 - (ix) whether the proposed action would encourage or attract large numbers of persons to any place for more than a few days, compared to the number who would come to such place without such action;
 - (x) whether the proposed action would create changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which would have a significant impact on the environment, but

when taken considered together would result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;

(xi) whether the proposed action would create substantial adverse impacts when considered cumulatively with any other actions, proposed or in process;

(xii) whether the proposed action would result in substantial adverse impact with respect to any relevant environmental consideration, including noise, aesthetics, traffic, air quality, water quality or adequacy of water supply, drainage, soil conditions, or quality of life in the community in general and the immediate neighborhood in particular;

(d) the proposed action, would not have a significant adverse environmental impact, as that impact is considered under SEQRA; and

(e) no further environmental review is required with respect to the proposed action

RESOLVED, that the Board adopts Proposed Bill HN-2207 as Local Law HN-9-2022, and directs the Village Clerk to file Local Law HN-9-2022 with the New York Secretary of State and take all actions required by law.

12 Building Department Correspondence

A. Permit Fee Schedule Amendments:

1. Building Permit Fee – 1.5% of the estimated cost of construction up to 1 million dollars, anything over 1 million will be 1% of the estimated cost.
Cost calculations are as follows:

New House	\$200 per square foot
Additions/Alterations	\$175 per square foot
Renovations	\$150 per square foot
2. Driveway Permit Fee – \$200 application fee
Cost calculations are as follows:
\$0.25 per square foot
3. Paving Permit Fee – other than a driveway. \$200 application fee
Estimated cost calculations are as follows:
\$1.00 per square foot for the first 500 square feet and \$0.50 each additional square foot.
4. Plumbing - \$150 application fee, \$50 each additional
5. Gas \$150 application fee, \$50 each additional and \$75 gas certificate fee
6. Fence - \$200 flat fee
7. Swimming Pool Patio- \$1.00 per square foot for the first 500 square feet and \$0.50 for each additional square foot.
8. Street Opening Deposit - \$7,500 for the first 150 square feet and \$1.00 per each additional square foot.
9. Sidewalk/Grass Opening Deposit - \$7,500 for the first 150 square feet and \$1.00 per each additional square foot.
10. Curb Cut Deposit - \$7,500 for the first 150 square feet and \$1.00 per each additional square foot.
11. Tree Removal - \$100 application fee and \$50 per tree. New trees must be planted on property.

- 12. Accessory Building/Structure - accessory buildings under 100 square feet.
\$200 fee.
- 13. Container/Pod - \$100 for 30 days.
- 14. Tent permit - \$300

B. Tent Permits

After some discussion by the Board, the Mayor directed the Village Attorney to draft a proposed law as it relates to tents and circulate to the Board.

C. Maintain fees for work completed without a building permit

Passed as a Local Law in item #6

D. Proposed parking regulations

Table

E. Special Events permits

Tabled

F. Parking without a waiver

Tabled

13. Reports:

A. Public Safety –October 2022

1. Police Activity Report

October	Arrests	0
	Crime Reports	1

B. Treasurer’s Report – October 2022

Cash status October 2022

Bank balances	Reg., Pay., M.M.	
As of 10/1/2022		\$944,278.02

Plus Receipts

Departmental Income	825.00	
Use of Money & Property	131.78	
Licenses and Permits	7,215.00	
Sale of Property/Other Comp	200.00	
State Aide	48,410.11	
		<u>56,781.89</u>

		\$1,001,059.91
<u>Less Disbursements</u>		
General Government Support	31,837.05	
Judicial	275.00	
Public Safety	9,947.63	
Transportation	11,485.13	
Employee Benefit	2,268.22	
Home and Community	317,515.27	
		<u>-373,328.30</u>
		627,731.61
ARPA Recovery Funds Woodbine Ditch Project		<u>-48,410.11</u>
		579,321.50
<u>Proof Bank Balances:</u>		
Signature Regular	5,612.08	
Signature Money Market	445,323.63	
Signature Payroll	10,885.79	
Signature- Trust Account	<u>117,500.00</u>	
		579,321.50

1. Audit of Claims

The Board discussed the claims. Village Treasurer confirmed that the items in such abstract represented the purchase of goods and services actually received and/or performed and that each item contained in the abstract was for a proper Village purpose., On motion duly made by Trustee Levine, seconded by Deputy Mayor Schnell, and adopted unanimously, the Board authorized and directed the Village Clerk/Treasurer to pay the general fund claims in the total sum of \$66,063.91 set forth in abstract #685 (copy of the abstract is on file in the Village office).

Roads:

The clerk updated the Board regarding the 2023 projects by PSEG

14. New Business: None
15. Next Meeting:-
January 30, 2022 6:00 PM
16. Adjournment:

As there was no further business the meeting was adjourned 6:55 P.M.

Village Clerk
Michelle Blandino